


OVERVIEW

The 2018 Ocean Health Index scores are available for the same 220 Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) that were measured in 2012-2017. New to the Index in 2014 were scores for the Antarctica and the High Seas.

The 2018 Ocean Health Index global score for the EEZs is 70 out of 100. Below are a few of the key findings.

Global average



Source: NCEAS, UC Santa Barbara, 2018

Petal diagram of the 2018 Ocean Health Index scores.

THE 2018 GLOBAL OCEAN HEALTH INDEX

The 2018 study assessed the marine waters under national jurisdiction, the coastlines, and oceans (out to 200 nautical miles), of 220 coastal countries and territories. These regions total 40% of the ocean and provide most benefits to people, but also incur the most pressures from human activities

The overall score, 70, is unchanged from 2016 - 2017 but has decreased one point from 2012 - 2015. Rapid change in year-to-year global level scores is not expected, since change in most conditions usually cannot take place that quickly.

The overall score sends a message that the ocean isn't 'dying' as many people may think. However, the score remains far from 100, indicating that marine life would fare better and we would gain more benefits if we used the ocean in more sustainable ways.

TRENDS

Trends in goal scores across the seven years of assessments vary among regions, however, at the global scale we observed an increase in the Lasting Special Places sub-goal, Mariculture subgoal, and Tourism & Recreation. The increase in Lasting Special Places was due to the designation of new large scale Marine Protected Areas in many areas.

Overall, scores declined for Coastal Protection, Fisheries, and Natural Products. Declines in scores for Coastal Protection were primarily driven by the substantial loss of coastal sea ice in sub-Arctic coastlines.

GOAL HIGHLIGHTS

Goal scores from lowest to highest:

[Natural Products](#) (45);

[Food Provision](#) (51) - sub-goals: [Fisheries](#) (51) and [Mariculture](#) (25);

[Tourism & Recreation](#) (54);

[Sense of Place](#) (64) - sub-goals: [Iconic Species](#) (67) and [Lasting Special Places](#) (61);

[Clean Waters](#) (7);

[Artisanal Fishing Opportunities](#) (78);

[Carbon Storage](#) (79);

[Livelihoods & Economies](#) (82) - sub-goals: [Livelihoods](#) (77) and [Economies](#) (88);

[Coastal Protection](#) (86);

[Biodiversity](#) (87) - sub-goals: [Species](#) (83) and [Habitats](#) (91).

REGION HIGHLIGHTS

Regional scores ranged from (41) to (90).

In total, seventeen regions scored 80 or above, many of which are island nations.

Regions with highest average scores:

[Heard and McDonald Islands](#) (90)

[Kerguelen Islands](#) (90)

[Howland Island and Baker Island](#) (90)

[Pitcairn](#) (85)

[Germany](#) (85) was the only regions with a population exceeding one million to score 80 or above.

In total, ten regions scored 50 or below, with seven of these in Africa, one in Central America, and two in the Middle East.

Regions with lowest average scores:

[Ivory Coast](#) (41)

[Libya](#) (42)


[Nicaragua](#) (45)

[Democratic Republic of the Congo](#) (47)

[Sierra Leone](#) (48)

[Syria](#) (48)

As observed in previous years, regions with stable and effective governance tended to score much higher than regions where corruption, dictatorship, civil strife, war and poverty have been chronic. This underscores that improving ocean health will require efforts from all sectors to promote peace, justice, gender equality, socially-responsible business and other aspects of civil health, because progress in those areas makes it much easier for communities and nations to improve the environmental and economic conditions needed to boost ocean health.



Source: NCEAS, UC Santa Barbara, 2018

Geographic distribution of scores for the 2018 Ocean Health Index